

**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with No Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): **GULFPORT CONSORTIUM**, **MS**

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: **\$6,007,914**


PJ Since (FY): **2001**

Category	PJ	Average	Rank*
<b><u>Program Progress:</u></b>			
% of Funds Committed	85.80%	88.05%	24 / 34
% of Funds Disbursed	69.67%	77.95%	26 / 34
Leveraging Ratio	2.38	1.77	10 / 32
% Disbursements on Completed Activities to Commitments on All Activities**	75.32%	76.08%	17 / 33
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations**	75.43%	63.31%	13 / 28
<b><u>Occupancy:</u></b>			
% of Occupied Homebuyer Units to All Completed Homebuyer Units**	100.00%	98.47%	1 / 33
<b><u>Overall Ranking:</u></b>			12 / 34
<b><u>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</u></b>			
Homebuyer Unit	\$14,789	\$18,503	112 Units 41.00%
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$10,825	\$17,607	161 Units 59.00%
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$2,669	0 Units 0.00%

\* - The Rank compares the 34 local HOME PJs that do not participate in rental production activities. A rank of 1 is the highest; a rank of 34 is the lowest.

\*\* - This category is double-weighted in the Overall Ranking.

## Graphic Representation of Ranking Comparison



The graph above is a visual representation of the PJ's rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJ's performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of the PJs that are being compared in this report.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with No Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): **HATTIESBURG**, **MS**

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: **\$5,441,924**


PJ Since (FY): **1995**

Category	PJ	Average	Rank*
<b><u>Program Progress:</u></b>			
% of Funds Committed	91.97%	88.05%	8 / 34
% of Funds Disbursed	73.16%	77.95%	22 / 34
Leveraging Ratio	1.66	1.77	18 / 32
% Disbursements on Completed Activities to Commitments on All Activities**	60.33%	76.08%	29 / 33
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations**	65.83%	63.31%	14 / 28
<b><u>Occupancy:</u></b>			
% of Occupied Homebuyer Units to All Completed Homebuyer Units**	97.72%	98.47%	23 / 33
<b><u>Overall Ranking:</u></b>			18 / 34
<b><u>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</u></b>			
Homebuyer Unit	\$7,582	\$18,503	263 Units 85.40%
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$18,257	\$17,607	45 Units 14.60%
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$2,669	0 Units 0.00%

\* - The Rank compares the 34 local HOME PJs that do not participate in rental production activities. A rank of 1 is the highest; a rank of 34 is the lowest.

\*\* - This category is double-weighted in the Overall Ranking.

## Graphic Representation of Ranking Comparison



The graph above is a visual representation of the PJ's rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJ's performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of the PJs that are being compared in this report.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

